IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 10523 of 1999

Between:

1 Sri Shirdi Sai Balaji Construction Rep.by its

Managing Director Smt.B.Bhagya Lakshmi
W/o.B.Appa Rao aged-32
R/o.Srikakulam.
2 B.Appa Rao S/o.Sathi Raju
R/o.P.H.Camp,Mothugudem,L.S.Project Khammam Dist

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Superintendent Engineer Panchayat Raj

Circle, Srikakulam.
2 The Executive Engineer Panchayat Raj Division
Srikakulam.
3 The Superintedent Engineer R&B Circle
Visakhapatnam.
4 The Superintendent Engineer Irrigation Circle
Visakhapatnam.
5 The Superintendent Engineer R&B(NH) Circle
Visakhapatnam.
6 The Superintendent Engineer Madduvalasa Reservour

Circle, Rajam.
7 The Superintendent Engineer Irrigation Circle,
Bobbili
8 The Executive Engineer R&B Division
Srikakulam.

9 The Superintendent Engineer Vamsadhara Project Circle
Srikakulam.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the Respondents in insisting for payment of Earnest Money Deposit, Commercial Tax Clearance Certificate, Experience Certificate is illegal, arbitrary and unreasonable and consequently direct the respondents not to insist payment of Earnest Money Deposit, Commercial Tax Clearance Certificate and Experience Certificate from the petitioners at the time of issuance of Tender Schedules.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.C.H.SATISH KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR ROADS & BUILDINGS

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

It is submitted by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners that no further orders are required to be passed as the writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Recording the same, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No order as to costs.

_____ (R.SUBHASH REDDY, J)

30.06.2005

DR

To

1 The Superintendent Engineer Panchayat Raj

Circle, Srikakulam.
2 The Executive Engineer Panchayat Raj Division

Srikakulam.
3 The Superintedent Engineer R&B Circle
Visakhapatnam.
4 The Superintendent Engineer Irrigation Circle
Visakhapatnam.
5 The Superintendent Engineer R&B(NH) Circle
Visakhapatnam.
6 The Superintendent Engineer Madduvalasa Reservour

Circle, Rajam.
7 The Superintendent Engineer Irrigation Circle,
Bobbili
8 The Executive Engineer R&B Division
Srikakulam.
9 The Superintendent Engineer Vamsadhara Project Circle
Srikakulam.

10 2 CCs to Government Pleader for Roads and Buildings,

High Court Buildings, Hyderabad. (OUT)

11 2 CD copies